

1  
2  
3 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
4 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**  
5

6 SCOT RANDOLPH BARTLETT,

7 Plaintiff,

8 v.

9 W. BURCHINAL, *et al.*,

10 Defendants.  
11


Case No. 2:05-CV-00449-KJD-GWF

**ORDER**

12  
13 Before the Court for consideration is the Order, Findings, and Recommendation (#24) of  
14 Magistrate Judge George W. Foley entered March 6, 2007, recommending that Plaintiff's Motion for  
15 Partial Summary Judgment (#23) be denied. Though the time for doing so has passed, Plaintiff has  
16 not filed objections to the magistrate's recommendations. The Court has conducted a *de novo* review  
17 of the record in this case in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and LR IB 3-2. The Court  
18 determines that the Findings and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge entered  
19 March 6, 2007, should be adopted.

20 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation  
21 (#24) entered March 6, 2007 is **ADOPTED**, and Plaintiff's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment  
22 (#23) is **DENIED**.

23 DATED this 28<sup>th</sup> day of March 2007.

24 

25 \_\_\_\_\_  
26 Kent J. Dawson  
United States District Judge